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## Participating Institutions

## Sorted by National Rankings

| Institution (n=13 + WPI) | Location | Public/ Private | Carnegie Classification (2017) | USNWR Rankings* (2017) |  | Accredited Business School |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | National | Engineering (Doctorate) |  |
| Tufts University | MA | Private | R1 | 27 | 58 |  |
| Case Western Reserve University | OH | Private | R1 | 37 | 37 | X |
| Northeastern University | MA | Private | R1 | 39 | 53 | X |
| Lehigh University | PA | Private | R2 | 44 | 44 | X |
| Worcester Polytechnic Institute | MA | Private | R2 | 60 | 63 | X |
| University of Connecticut Storrs | CT | Public | R1 | 60 | 63 | X |
| University of Massachusetts Amherst | MA | Public | R1 | 74 | 63 | X |
| Colorado School of Mines | CO | Public | R2 | 82 | 44 |  |
| Drexel University | PA | Private | R2 | 96 | 53 | X |
| Illinois Institute of Technology | IL | Private | R2 | 103 | 63 | X |
| Rochester Institute of Technology | NY | Private | R3 | 107 | 58 | X |
| Michigan Technological University | MI | Public | R2 | 118 | 63 | X |
| Clarkson University | NY | Private | R3 | 129 | 75 | X |
| Rose-Hulman Institute of Technology | IN | Private | 4 Yr Eng |  |  |  |
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## Gender Analysis of Cohorts Below/Above 85\% of Market Median

| Percent of MM | Women |  | Men |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\#$ | $\%$ | $\#$ | $\%$ |
| $<85$ | 9 | 15 | 24 | 14 |
| $85-115$ | 42 | 68 | 123 | 71 |
| $>115$ | 11 | 18 | 27 | 16 |
|  | 62 | 100 | 174 | 100 |

## Analysis of Salary Distribution by Rank

| Rank | $\#$ | Aggregate <br> Salary | Market Median - MM |  |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  | Market \$ | WPI as \% <br> of MM |
| Assistant Professor | 52 | $5,256,024$ | $5,180,661$ | 101 |
| Associate Professor | 85 | $9,104,263$ | $8,954,560$ | 102 |
| Professor | 99 | $14,843,602$ | $14,714,422$ | 101 |
| All | $\mathbf{2 3 6}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 2 9 , 2 0 3 , 8 8 9}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 2 8 , 8 4 9 , 6 4 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 1}$ |

## Analysis of Salary Distribution by Rank and Gender

## Men:

## Women:

| Rank | Aggregate | Salary | Market Median - MM |  |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Market \$ | WPI as \% <br> of iviM |
| Assistant Professor | 18 | $1,873,975$ | $1,889,304$ | 99 |
| Associate Professor | 28 | $2,994,419$ | $3,008,282$ | 100 |
| Professor | 16 | $2,435,804$ | $2,554,984$ | 95 |
| All Women | 62 | $7,304,198$ | $\mathbf{7 , 4 5 2 , 5 7 0}$ | 98 |

## Budget Impact: Estimate

## A Possible Mitigation Strategy:

Ensure that at each rank, the salaries of both men and women are at the same percent of the Market Median

## Budget Implication:

| Rank | $\#$ <br> Fem | Aggregate <br> Female Salary | Male <br> $\%$ of <br> MM | Female Salary <br> adjusted to <br> Male \% of MM | Difference <br> $(\$)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Assistant Professor | 18 | $1,873,975$ | 103 | $1,945,194$ | 72,008 |
| Associate Professor | 28 | $2,994,419$ | 103 | $3,098,251$ | 104,112 |
| Professor | 16 | $2,435,804$ | 102 | $2,606,083$ | 170,279 |
| All | $\mathbf{6 2}$ | $\mathbf{7 , 3 0 4 , 1 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{7 , 6 5 0 , 5 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{3 4 6 , 3 9 9}$ |

## Discussion


[^0]:    *Carnegie Classification and 2017 USNWR College Rankings.

