

GRIE Presentation Judging Guidelines

Appearance and Layout (20%)

Weak Strong
1 2 3 4 5

Was the presentation aesthetically pleasing?

Was there there an appropriate balance between visuals and text in the presentation?

Were all of the graphics displayed appropriate to the intended use, labeled, and easy to understand?

Presentation Content (30%)

Weak Strong
1 2 3 4 5

Does the title accurately reflect the presentation?

Was sufficient background information provided to put the research into context?

Were the methods or approach sufficiently and succinctly described and appropriate for the research question?

Did the figures and/or graphs convey the intended data?

Have conclusions been provided, and can they be made based on the results obtained?

Presentation Style (30%)

Weak Strong
1 2 3 4 5

Was the presenter able to clearly and concisely present the material in a logical, organized manner?

Did the student present in a professional manner, e.g. speaking style, choice of words, speed of delivery?

Did the student describe the material in a way that exhibited they have a strong comprehension of the area of research?

Significance and Innovation (20%)

Weak Strong
1 2 3 4 5

Was the significance, impact, and/or innovative nature of the work described by the presenter?

Was the presenter able to put their work into a context that would appreciable by a non-expert?

Did the presenter provide a central hypothesis or problem their work was trying to address?

Did the presenter discuss how their research added to the body of current knowledge in their field?

Summary Comments:

Overall: _____

Strengths: _____

Weakness: _____